1 2 3 4 5 6 7	David S. Casey, Jr., SBN 060768 dcasey@cglaw.com Thomas D. Luneau, SBN 145804 tdl@cglaw.com CASEY GERRY SCHENK FRANCAVILLA BLATT & PENFIELD 110 Laurel Street San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 238-1811/Fax: (619) 544-9232  Daniel M. Gilleon (SBN 195200) dmg@mglawyers.com Steve Hoffman (SBN 237466)	, LLP	
8 9	Steve Hoffman (SBN 237466) shoffmanlaw@gmail.com The Gilleon Law Firm 1320 Columbia Street, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 702-8623/Fax: (619) 702-6337		
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Jacob Gregoire  Kamala D. Harris		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Attorney General of California Richard F. Wolfe Supervising Deputy Attorney General richard.wolfe@doj.ca.gov Douglas E. Baxter Deputy Attorney General Douglas.Baxter@doj.ca.gov OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL State Bar No. 201351 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Tel: (619) 645-2034/Fax: (619) 645-2012		
20	Attorneys for Defendants State of California (by and through the California Highway Patrol) and Sergio Flores		
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
22	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
23	JACOB GREGOIRE,	CASE NO. 14-cv-1749-GPC (DHB)	
24 25	Plaintiff,  V.  CALIEODNIA IIICHWAY DATROL or	JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO REPLY TO RESPONSE	
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL, an agency of the State of California; SERGIO FLORES, and DOES 1 to 20, Defendants.	Date: Ex Parte Time: Ex Parte Courtroom: 2D Judge: The Honorable Gonzalo P. Curie	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On December 8, 2015, the parties filed a joint motion to extend time to respond to Motion for Summary Judgment and to Reply to Response. The Court ordered that the time for Plaintiff to respond to the motion for summary judgment was extended to January 8, 2015 and the time for Defendants to reply to the response was extended to January 15, 2016. After reviewing these materials needed for opposition, the parties now realize additional time will be necessary because of the protective order and the state's designation of materials as confidential. Therefore, the parties jointly move for an order extending the time for Plaintiff to respond to the motion for summary judgment to January 19, 2016 and extending the time for Defendants to reply to February 2, 2016. This joint motion is made for the following reasons: 1. Plaintiff's counsel needs additional time in reviewing documents and deposition testimony that involve production of confidential, proprietary or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. Plaintiff's counsel needs to address concerns for appropriate paperwork to file documents under seal including a Joint Motion and Proposed Order. One extension of time has been requested for the briefing of this motion. The parties therefore jointly move for an order extending the time to respond to Defendants' motion for summary judgment to January 19, 2016 and the time for Defendants to reply to February 2, 2016. Respectfully submitted, Dated: January 5, 2016 Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California Richard F. Wolfe Supervising Deputy Attorney General s/Douglas Baxter DOUGLAS BAXTER

Douglas.Baxter@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants

1	Dated: January 5, 2016	The Gilleon Law Firm
2		
3		s/Daniel M. Gilleon DANIEL M. GILLEON
4		dmg@mglawyers.com Attorneys for Plaintiff
5	D 1 7 2011	
6 7	Dated: January 5, 2016	Casey Gerry Schenk Francavilla Blatt & Penfield, LLP
8		
9		s/Thomas D. Luneau THOMAS D. LUNEAU
10		tdl@cglaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	D 1 7 2011	
12	Dated: January 5, 2016	Law Office of Steve Hoffman
13		
14		s/Steve Hoffman STEVE HOFFMAN
15		shoffman@gmail.com Attorneys for Plaintiff
16		
17	Pursuant to Section 2(f)(4) of the Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies	
18	and Procedures of the United States District Court for the Southern District of	
19	California I certify that I certify that the content of this document is acceptable to	
20	counsel for the Defendants and that I have obtained authorization from all other	
21	counsel to affix their electronic signatures to this document.	
22		
23		s/Thomas D. Luneau
24	THOMAS D. LUNEAU  tdl@cglaw.com  Attorneys for Plaintiff	
25		
26		
27		
28		